

+

06/30/99

1c535 U.S. PTO

# UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No. 862.2900

First Named Inventor or Application Identifier

HIROAKI SUGIURA

Express Mail Label No.

UC138 U.S. PTO  
09/342917  
06/30/99

## APPLICATION ELEMENTS

See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

## ADDRESS TO:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Box Patent Application  
Washington, DC 20231

1. ☐ Fee Transmittal Form  
(Submit an original, and a duplicate for fee processing)
2. ☒ Specification Total Pages
3. ☒ Drawing(s) (35 USC 113) Total Sheets
4. ☒ Oath or Declaration Total Pages 
  - a. ☒ Newly executed (original or copy)
  - b. ☐ Unexecuted for information purposes
  - c. ☐ Copy from a prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d))  
(for continuation/divisional with Box 17 completed)  
[Note Box 5 below]
  - i. ☐ **DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)**  
Signed Statement attached deleting inventor(s)  
named in the prior application, see 37 CFR  
1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).
5. ☐ Incorporation By Reference (useable if Box 4c is checked)  
The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which a copy of the  
oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4c, is considered as being  
part of the disclosure of the accompanying application and is hereby  
incorporated by reference therein

6. ☐ Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix)
7. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission  
(if applicable, all necessary)
  - a. ☐ Computer Readable Copy
  - b. ☐ Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)
  - c. ☐ Statement verifying identity of above copies

## ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

8. ☒ Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))
9. ☐ 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement ☐ Power of Attorney  
(when there is an assignee)
10. ☐ English Translation Document (if applicable)
11. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 ☐ Copies of IDS Citations
12. ☐ Preliminary Amendment
13. ☒ Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)  
(Should be specifically itemized)
14. ☐ Small Entity Statement(s) ☐ Statement filed in prior application  
Status still proper and desired
15. ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  
(if foreign priority is claimed)
16. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

17. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box and supply the requisite information:

☐ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No. \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_

## 18. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

☒ Customer Number or Bar Code Label  or ☐ Correspondence address below  
(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)

NAME				
Address				
City	State	Zip Code		
Country	Telephone	Fax		



CLAIMS	(1) FOR	(2) NUMBER FILED	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) RATE	(5) CALCULATIONS
	TOTAL CLAIMS (37 CFR 1.16(c))	23 -20 =	3	X \$ 18.00 =	\$ 54.00
	INDEPENDENT CLAIMS (37 cfr 1 16(b))	7-3 =	4	X \$ 78.00 =	\$ 312.00
	MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS (if applicable) (37 CFR 1.16(d))			\$260.00 =	\$ 0.00
				BASIC FEE (37 CFR 1.16(a))	\$ 760.00
	Total of above Calculations =				\$1,126.00
	Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1.28).				
	TOTAL =				\$1,126.00

19. Small entity status


- a. ☐ A Small entity statement is enclosed
- b. ☐ A small entity statement was filed in the prior nonprovisional application and such status is still proper and desired.
- c. ☐ Is no longer claimed.

20. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 1,126.00 to cover the filing fee is enclosed.

21. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 40.00 to cover the recordal fee is enclosed.

22. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit overpayments or charge the following fees to Deposit Account No. 06-1205:

- a. ☒ Fees required under 37 CFR 1.16.
- b. ☒ Fees required under 37 CFR 1.17.
- c. ☐ Fees required under 37 CFR 1.18.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED	
NAME	Leonard P. Diana
SIGNATURE	
DATE	June 29, 1999

TITLE OF THE INVENTION  
DATA CONVERSION APPARATUS AND METHOD

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a data conversion apparatus and its method and, more particularly, to a data conversion method suitable for digital arithmetic operations, and a data conversion  
10 apparatus using the method.

DESCRIPTION OF THE RELATED ART

The volume of image data digitally processed by computers and the like is increasing each year. Also,  
15 higher quality upon forming a color image is increasingly required each year. In order to form a high-quality color image, color conversion is indispensable, and requires high-speed processing in addition to high precision and implementation of  
20 flexible conversion characteristics.

Since color information generally forms a three-dimensional space, color conversion determines the correspondence of color information from a given color space to another color space. Many schemes for  
25 attaining such conversion are available. Among these schemes, color conversion that combines a look-up table

(LUT) and interpolation (Japanese Patent Laid-Open Nos. 53-123201 and 8-237497) is prevalently used. Also, color conversion that combines an LUT and interpolation includes various schemes. In consideration of the  
5 required data size, computation volume, continuity of outputs among unit rectangular hexahedra, gray line interpolation characteristics, and the like, tetrahedral interpolation disclosed in Japanese Patent Laid-Open No. 53-123201 is most suitable.

10           However, the tetrahedral interpolation disclosed in Japanese Patent Laid-Open No. 53-123201 can be directly applied only when the unit rectangular hexahedron is a regular hexahedron. Upon implementing color conversion by a digital computation processing  
15 apparatus, the limitation of a unit rectangular hexahedron to a regular hexahedron cannot be ignored in implementation of a conversion processing apparatus.

          This limitation will be described in detail below. In a conversion processing apparatus that performs  
20 digital processing, in order to convert all unit rectangular hexahedra into regular hexahedra, the grid spacing is limited, and the conversion precision and data size (the number of grid points) cannot be optimized. For example, if input data is 8-bit data (0  
25 to 255), the grid spacing must be set at one of 85 (the number of grid points = 4), 51 (6), 17 (16), 15 (18), 5

(32), and 3 (86) to convert all unit rectangular hexahedra into regular hexahedra.

On the other hand, since the color space is not uniform in the entire area, it is effective for improving the conversion precision of a specific area to intentionally set a small grid spacing of that area. For example, upon conversion from RGB to CMYK, a gray level drop between grids arising from an undercolor removal (UCR) process readily occurs in a dark area, i.e.,  $(R, G, B) \approx (0, 0, 0)$ . However, this problem can be effectively solved by setting a small grid spacing of that area. Japanese Patent Laid-Open Nos. 7-131668, 10-70669, and the like disclose schemes for improving the conversion precision of a specific color area by setting a small grid spacing. However, the schemes described in these references require an area discrimination process for changing the processes depending on the areas of input color information, and are inferior to the technique disclosed in Japanese Patent Laid-Open No. 53-123201 above in terms of the processing speed and circuit scale.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the present invention to provide a data conversion method suitable for digital

computation processing, and a data conversion apparatus using the method.

In order to achieve the above object, the preferred embodiment of the present invention discloses  
5 a data conversion method comprising the steps of:  
outputting a value which represents distance from an input value to a grid point of a look-up table, and is normalized by a sufficiently large value, using the look-up table; and executing data conversion of the  
10 input value by interpolating the value obtained by the look-up table.

It is another object of the present invention to provide a recording medium used in the data conversion.

In order to achieve the above object, the  
15 preferred embodiment of the present invention discloses a computer readable medium recorded data which is used in a data conversion process, the data comprising:  
table data for outputting a value which represents distance from a grid point of a look-up table to an  
20 input value, and is normalized by a sufficiently large value, with respect to the input value; and data representing a computation for executing data conversion of the input value by interpolating the value obtained by the look-up table, using the value  
25 obtained by the table data.

It is still another object of the present invention to provide an image processing apparatus and method that use the data conversion.

In order to achieve the above object, the preferred embodiment of the present invention discloses an image processing method comprising the steps of: selecting a plurality of grid points on the basis of input data; obtaining values, which represent distances between the selected grid points and the input data, and are normalized by a predetermined value; and executing interpolation on the basis of the obtained values and data of the plurality of grid points.

Other features and advantages of the present invention will be apparent from the following description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which like reference characters designate the same or similar parts throughout the figures thereof.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 shows the entire two-dimensional LUT;

Fig. 2 shows a given unit rectangle extracted from the two-dimensional LUT shown in Fig. 1;

Fig. 3 is a view showing the principle of linear interpolation in case of one dimension;

Fig. 4 is a view for explaining the contents of an X-u' table;

Fig. 5 is a view for explaining the relationship between x and distance to a grid;

Fig. 6 shows the overall image of a three-dimensional LUT;

5 Fig. 7 shows a unit rectangular hexahedron as a part of the three-dimensional LUT shown in Fig. 6;

Fig. 8 is a block diagram showing the arrangement of a conventional apparatus; and

10 Fig. 9 is a flow chart showing a conversion process.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The preferred embodiment of a data conversion apparatus and method according to the present invention  
15 will be described in detail hereinafter with reference to the accompanying drawings.

[Problems in Tetrahedral Interpolation]

In order to clarify problems posed when the interpolation (tetrahedral interpolation) disclosed in  
20 Japanese Patent Laid-Open No. 53-123201 is implemented by a digital computation processing apparatus and is applied to an LUT in which the grid spacings are not equal in all dimensions, the following explanation will be given taking two-dimensional interpolation as an  
25 example. As a scheme used in the interpolation to be described below, tetrahedral interpolation in a



three-dimensional space disclosed in Japanese Patent Laid-Open No. 53-123201 is generalized and adapted to a two-dimensional space, and problems in the following example become more conspicuous in three-dimensional tetrahedral interpolation. Note that the description will be made in reference to a two-dimensional space to simplify the drawings, and to make it easy to understand the drawings.

Fig. 1 shows the entire two-dimensional LUT, and Fig. 2 shows a given unit rectangle extracted from the two-dimensional LUT. Note that a unit rectangular hexahedron in three-dimensional tetrahedral interpolation becomes a unit rectangle in the two-dimensional space.

Interpolation in the two-dimensional space is done by checking which of triangles P00-P10-P11 and P00-P01-P11 includes an input point, and multiplying a value (grid value) at the vertex of the triangle that includes the input point by a weight. Assuming that  $P00 = P(X0, Y0)$ ,  $P10 = P(X1, Y0)$ ,  $P01 = P(X0, Y1)$ , and  $P11 = P(X1, Y1)$  ( $X1 - X0 \neq Y1 - Y0$ ), a process for calculating an output P for an input point (X, Y) that satisfies  $X0 \leq X \leq X1$  and  $Y0 \leq Y \leq Y1$  will be explained below.

If u and v are defined by:

$$u = \frac{(X - X_0)}{(X_1 - X_0)} \quad \dots (1a)$$

$$v = \frac{(Y - Y_0)}{(Y_1 - Y_0)} \quad \dots (1b)$$

which of the triangles includes the input point (X, Y) is determined by comparing u and v, and a grid value  
5 used in interpolation differs.

Case 1: if  $u > v$ , the input point (X, Y) is included in triangle P00-P10-P11. Hence, the output P is given by:

$$P = \frac{(X_1 - X)(Y_1 - Y_0)P_{00} + \{(X - X_0)(Y_1 - Y_0) - (X_1 - X_0)(Y - Y_0)\}P_{10} + (X_1 - X_0)(Y - Y_0)P_{11}}{(X_1 - X_0)(Y_1 - Y_0)} \quad \dots (2a)$$

Case 2: if  $v > u$ , the input point (X, Y) is included in triangle P00-P01-P11. Hence, the output P is given by:

$$P = \frac{(X_1 - X_0)(Y_1 - Y)P_{00} + \{(X_1 - X_0)(Y - Y_0) - (X - X_0)(Y_1 - Y_0)\}P_{01} + (X - X_0)(Y_1 - Y_0)P_{11}}{(X_1 - X_0)(Y_1 - Y_0)} \quad \dots (2b)$$

Case 3: if  $u = v$ , the input (X, Y) is included in line segment P00-P11. Hence, the output P is given by:

$$P = \frac{(X_1 - X)P_{00} + (X - X_0)P_{11}}{X_1 - X_0} \quad \dots (2c)$$

20 Since equation (2c) is equivalent to equation (2a) or (2b) when  $u = v$ , case 3 can be included in case 1 or 2.

If  $(X_1 - X_0) = (Y_1 - Y_0)$ , i.e., if the unit rectangle is a square, equations (2a) and (2b) are greatly simplified as:

$$P = \frac{(X_1 - X)P_{00} + \{(X - X_0) - (Y - Y_0)\}P_{10} + (Y - Y_0)P_{11}}{X_1 - X_0} \dots (2a')$$

5 
$$P = \frac{(Y_1 - Y)P_{00} + \{(Y - Y_0) - (X - X_0)\}P_{10} + (X - X_0)P_{11}}{X_1 - X_0} \dots (2b')$$

In this manner, when the unit rectangle is a square in tetrahedral interpolation in a two-dimensional space, calculations of the output P do not require any multiplication like  $(X_1 - X_0)(Y_1 - Y)$ ,  
 10 the computation volume can be greatly reduced. Likewise, even in tetrahedral interpolation in a three-dimensional space, if the unit rectangular hexahedron is a regular hexahedron, the computation volume upon calculating the output P can be reduced.  
 15 However, if the unit rectangular hexahedron is not a regular hexahedron, calculations of one output P require multiplications like  $(X_1 - X_0)(Y_1 - Y_0)(Z_1 - Z_0)$ . Hence, the computation volume increases considerably, and an apparatus that can attain  
 20 high-speed processing and has a large circuit scale is required.

Of course, if floating point computations are made in digital processing, equation (2a) is rewritten as:

$$P = (1 - XX)P_{00} + (XX - YY)P_{10} + YY \times P_{11} \quad \dots (2a'')$$

for

$$XX \text{ is a real number given by } XX = (X - X_0) / (X_1 - X_0) \quad (0 \leq XX \leq 1) \quad \dots (3a)$$

$$5 \quad YY \text{ is a real number given by } YY = (Y - Y_0) / (Y_1 - Y_0) \quad (0 \leq YY \leq 1) \quad \dots (3b)$$

Hence, even when  $(X_1 - X_0) \neq (Y_1 - Y_0)$ , equation (2a) can be simplified as equation (2a').

However, floating point computations require a considerably longer processing time than integer computations, and also a huge circuit scale. For this reason, floating point computations of equation (2a'') often increase the processing time and circuit scale compared to integer computations of equation (2a), and high-speed interpolation cannot be implemented by a simple circuit.

[Linear Interpolation]

The basic principle of this embodiment will be explained below taking as an example a case wherein this embodiment is applied to simplest, linear interpolation.

Given  $P_0 = P(X_0)$  and  $P_1 = P(X_1)$ , as shown in Fig. 3, if linear interpolation is done, an output  $P$  for an input point  $X$  that satisfies  $X_0 \leq X \leq X_1$  is given by:

$$P = \frac{(X_1 - X)P_0 + (X - X_0)P_1}{X_1 - X_0} \quad \dots (4)$$

However, in equation (4), individual values  $(X_1 - X_0)$ ,  $(X_1 - X)$ , and  $(X - X_0)$  have no bearing, but the ratios of two values, i.e.,  $(X_1 - X)/(X_1 - X_0)$  and  $(X - X_0)/(X_1 - X_0)$  have bearing as weights. Hence, if  $u$  is calculated using an arbitrary value  $L$  by:

$$u = \frac{X - X_0}{X_1 - X_0} L \quad \dots (5)$$

equation (4) is rewritten using  $u$  as:

$$P = \frac{(L - u)P_0 + u \times P_1}{L} \quad \dots (6)$$

When equations (5) and (6) are calculated by floating point computations,  $u$  becomes a real number, and equations (6) and (4) are equivalent to each other. However, it is inappropriate to make floating point computations, as described above. For this reason, when equivalent processes are done by integer computations,  $u$  obtained by equation (5) is an approximate value. Hence, computations done by an actual apparatus are accurately expressed by:

$$u' = \text{ROUND} \left( \frac{X - X_0}{X_1 - X_0} L \right) \quad \dots (5')$$

$$P = \frac{(L - u')P_0 + u' \times P_1}{L} \quad \dots (6')$$

where  $\text{ROUND}(x)$  is a function of rounding off after the decimal point of real number  $x$ .

Hence, the output  $P$  obtained by equation (6') does not perfectly match that obtained by equation (4).

5 However, if a value sufficiently larger than  $(X_1 - X_0)$  is set as  $L$ , that error is negligible in practice. In addition, since  $L$  can be independently set except for  $(X_1 - X_0)$  and its value, if a power of 2 is set as  $L$ , a division in equation (6') can be implemented by a  
10 right-shift computation. In general, the shift computation can be processed by a simpler circuit and at higher speed than the division. Therefore, by setting a power of 2 as  $L$ , reductions of the processing time and circuit scale can be realized.

15 Since  $u'$  depends only on  $X$ , a table that outputs  $u'$  using  $X$  as an address, i.e., an  $X$ - $u'$  table, is created prior to interpolation in actual processing. Fig. 4 shows the contents of the  $X$ - $u'$  table as a graph. For the sake of comparison, Fig. 5 shows as a graph the  
20 relationship between  $X$  and distance to a grid, when  $L$  is not used. This  $X$ - $u'$  table may be created immediately before execution of interpolation. If grid positions are determined in advance, the  $X$ - $u'$  table may be stored in the apparatus in advance in place of the  
25 grid positions.

In this manner, if the X-u' table is prepared, the output P for the input X can be calculated by very simple computations using equation (6').

[Two-dimensional Interpolation]

5           In linear interpolation, a division can be replaced by a shift computation, and larger effects can be expected when tetrahedral interpolation is used in a space not less than two dimensions. To demonstrate such effects, the following explanation will be given  
10   taking two-dimensional tetrahedral interpolation shown in Fig. 1 as an example. As a scheme used in the following description of the interpolation, tetrahedral interpolation in a three-dimensional space is applied to a two-dimensional space, and the effects in the  
15   following example are more conspicuous in the three-dimensional tetrahedral interpolation.

As in u' given by equation (5) in case of one dimension, u' and v' in a two-dimensional space are given by:

$$20 \quad u' = \text{INT} \left( \frac{X - X_0}{X_1 - X_0} L \right) \quad \dots (7a)$$

$$v' = \text{INT} \left( \frac{Y - Y_0}{Y_1 - Y_0} L \right) \quad \dots (7b)$$

The relationships among u and v, and u' and v' are as follows:

if  $u > v$ , since  $uL > vL$ ,  $\text{INT}(uL) \geq \text{INT}(vL)$ , i.e.,  
 $u' \geq v'$ ;

if  $v > u$ , since  $vL > uL$ ,  $\text{INT}(vL) \geq \text{INT}(uL)$ , i.e.,  
 $v' \geq u'$ ; and

5 if  $u = v$ , since  $uL = vL$ ,  $\text{INT}(uL) = \text{INT}(vL)$ , i.e.,  
 $u' = v'$ .

As can be seen from the above description, by  
 converting  $u$  and  $v$  into  $u'$  and  $v'$ , the same  
 relationship between  $u'$  and  $v'$  as that between  $u$  and  $v$   
 10 can be maintained although inequality sign may change  
 to equality sign. Hence, since the triangle that  
 includes the input point remains the same, it is  
 appropriate to calculate the output  $P$  based on the  
 relationship between  $u'$  and  $v'$ . Applying equations  
 15 (7a) and (7b) to equations (2a) and (2b) yields:

$$\text{When } u' > v', \quad P = \frac{(L-u')P_{00} + (u'-v')P_{10} + v'P_{11}}{L} \quad \dots (8a)$$

$$\text{When } v' \geq u', \quad P = \frac{(L-v')P_{00} + (v'-u')P_{01} + u'P_{11}}{L} \quad \dots (8b)$$

As shown in equations (8a) and (8b),  
 interpolation for arbitrary grid spacings can be  
 20 implemented by a computation volume equivalent to that  
 required when the grid spacings are equal to each other  
 in equations (2a') and (2b'), i.e., when the unit  
 rectangle is a square. Of course, when  $L$  is a power of



2, divisions by L in equations (8a) and (8b) can be implemented by right-shift computations.

[Three-dimensional Interpolation]

The processing sequence upon application of this  
 5 embodiment to tetrahedral interpolation using a  
 three-dimensional LUT will be explained below. Fig. 6  
 shows the entire image of a three-dimensional LUT, and  
 Fig. 7 shows a unit rectangular hexahedron as a part of  
 the three-dimensional LUT shown in Fig. 6.

10 Assuming that  $P000 = P(X0, Y0, Z0)$ ,  $P001 = P(X0, Y0, Z1)$ ,  
 $P010 = P(X0, Y1, Z0)$ ,  $P011 = P(X0, Y1, Z1)$ ,  
 $P100 = P(X1, Y0, Z0)$ ,  $P101 = P(X1, Y0, Z1)$ ,  $P110 = P(X1, Y1, Z0)$ ,  
 and  $P111 = P(X1, Y1, Z1)$ , the effects obtained  
 upon applying this embodiment to three-dimensional  
 15 tetrahedral interpolation will be explained taking as  
 an example the process for calculating an output P for  
 an input (X, Y, Z) that satisfies  $X0 \leq X \leq X1$ ,  $Y0 \leq Y \leq Y1$ ,  
 and  $Z0 \leq Z \leq Z1$ .

$$u' = \text{INT} \left( \frac{X - X0}{X1 - X0} L \right) \quad \dots (9a)$$

$$20 \quad v' = \text{INT} \left( \frac{Y - Y0}{Y1 - Y0} L \right) \quad \dots (9b)$$

$$w' = \text{INT} \left( \frac{Z - Z0}{Z1 - Z0} L \right) \quad \dots (9c)$$

As in the case of the two-dimensional  
 interpolation mentioned above, since  $u'$  depends only on

X, an X-u' table as a table for obtaining u' using X as an address is created prior to interpolation. Likewise, a Y-v' table that obtains v' using Y as an address, and a Z-w' table that obtains w' using Z as an address are created prior to interpolation. These tables may be created immediately before execution of interpolation. When X, Y, and Z grid positions are determined in advance, the X-u', Y-v', and Z-w' tables may be stored in the apparatus in place of the grid positions. If these tables are created, the output P for the input (X, Y, Z) can be calculated very simply by:

$$\text{When } u' > v' > w', P = \frac{(L-u')P000 + (u'-v')P100 + (v'-w')P110 + w' P111}{L} \dots (10a)$$

$$\text{When } u' > w' \geq v', P = \frac{(L-u')P000 + (u'-w')P100 + (w'-v')P110 + v' P111}{L} \dots (10b)$$

$$\text{When } w' \geq u' > v', P = \frac{(L-w')P000 + (w'-u')P001 + (u'-v')P101 + v' P111}{L} \dots (10c)$$

$$\text{When } w' \geq v' \geq u', P = \frac{(L-w')P000 + (w'-v')P001 + (v'-u')P011 + u' P111}{L} \dots (10d)$$

$$\text{When } v' > w' \geq u', P = \frac{(L-v')P000 + (v'-w')P010 + (w'-u')P011 + u' P111}{L} \dots (10e)$$

$$\text{When } v' \geq u' > w', P = \frac{(L-v')P000 + (v'-u')P010 + (u'-w')P110 + w' P111}{L} \dots (10f)$$

As described above, according to this embodiment, since interpolation in the three-dimensional LUT can be

implemented by simple computations, high-speed processing can be easily realized.

In the above description, a linear output is obtained. However, since the processing of this  
5 embodiment independently processes the respective output dimensions independently of the number of dimensions, this embodiment can be applied to conversion of an arbitrary output dimension.

The actual three-dimensional LUT is composed of a  
10 plurality of unit rectangular hexahedra, as shown in Fig. 6, and the shape of each unit rectangular hexahedron is determined by the grid position with respect to the respective dimensions. This embodiment can be directly applied to interpolation using an LUT  
15 in which the grid positions of a three-dimensional input are independently set, as shown in Fig. 6. However, if the same grid positions are set for all the dimensions, since the X-u' table can be used as the Y-v' and Z-w' tables, the processes for preparing these  
20 tables and a memory for storing them can be omitted. When this embodiment is applied to color conversion, if the input is one of RGB, CMY, and CIE XYZ of color spaces, the diagonal line of the LUT can be expressed by a gray line by setting grid positions of all the  
25 dimensions at identical positions, the precision of gray line interpolation can be improved. As described

above, when the grid positions of all the dimensions are set at identical positions, since table preparation and a memory for storing the tables can be omitted, this embodiment can provide greater effects.

5 [Arrangement of Conversion Apparatus]

Fig. 8 is a block diagram showing the arrangement of the conversion apparatus. A CPU 102 controls the operation of the overall conversion apparatus via a bus 107 in accordance with a program and data stored in a  
10 ROM 101, executes color conversion according to this embodiment for image data input via, e.g., an I/O 104 or NIC (Network Interface Card) 105 using a RAM 103 as a work memory, and outputs the processing result via the I/O 104 or NIC 105 or stores it in a storage medium  
15 such as a hard disk (HD) 107.

For example, to the I/O 104, an image I/O device such as a monitor (CRT, LCD, or the like), printer, image reader, film reader, digital still camera, digital video camera, or the like, or a storage device  
20 having a storage medium such as a magnetic disk, optical disk, or the like, is connected via a predetermined interface. Also, the apparatus can exchange image data with a computer to which such image I/O device or storage device is connected, via the NIC  
25 105. As such network, a network using Ethernet or FDDI (Fiber Distributed Data Interface), and serial buses

specified by IEEE1394, USB (Universal Serial Bus), and the like are available.

Fig. 9 is a flow chart showing conversion executed by the CPU 102. After a grid position is set in step S1, X-u', Y-v', and Z-w' tables are prepared in steps S2 to S4. The prepared tables are stored in, e.g., the RAM 103.

Subsequently, image data is input in step S5, and u', v', and w' corresponding to the input image data are obtained using the prepared tables in step S6. The relationship among u', v', and w' is determined in step S7, and converted image data is calculated using an equation corresponding to the determination result, i.e., one of equations (10a) to (10f) in step S8. Steps S5 to S8 are repeated until all conversion processes of the image data are complete (not shown in Fig. 9).

Fig. 8 shows an example wherein the conversion apparatus of this embodiment is implemented by a computer such as a personal computer. However, this embodiment is not limited to such specific apparatus. For example, a DSP (Digital Signal Processor) may be provided in addition to the CPU 102, a program that implements the conversion shown in Fig. 9 may be supplied to the DSP, and the DSP may execute the conversion. Furthermore, the conversion apparatus of

this embodiment may be built in an image forming apparatus such as a printer, copying machine, or the like. In this case, X-u', Y-v', and Z-w' tables may be stored in an LUT RAM connected to an image data bus, and the conversion result may be computed by, e.g., a DSP on the basis of the outputs from the RAM, and may be output onto the image data bus. When the conversion process of this embodiment is applied to an image forming apparatus, that conversion process corresponds to various processes such as input masking, luminance-gray level conversion, UCR, output masking, gamma correction, gradation correction, color space conversion, color space compression/expansion, and the like.

As described above, according to this embodiment, since a look-up table that obtains distance, which is normalized by a sufficiently large numerical value, from a grid point to an input value using the input value as an address is used, interpolation with practically high precision can be implemented by a computation volume equal to that required when all the grid spacings are equal to each other without limiting setups of grid positions. Hence, according to the conversion apparatus of this embodiment, flexible conversion characteristics can be implemented without increasing the computation volume and circuit scale.

This embodiment can especially reduce  
multiplications required for calculating weights when  
the input has two or more dimensions and the  
interpolation scheme is tetrahedral interpolation, thus  
5 effectively shortening the processing time and reducing  
the circuit scale.

When a power of 2 is set as the sufficiently  
large numerical value for normalizing the distance  
between the input value and grid point, since divisions  
10 by all the weights done at the end of interpolation can  
be implemented by shift computations, thus further  
shortening the processing time and reducing the circuit  
scale.

Furthermore, when the grid positions for all the  
15 dimensions of the input are equal to each other, the  
processing steps and memory size required for  
implementing the present invention can be further  
reduced.

Note that this embodiment is particularly  
20 effective to improve gray line interpolation precision,  
when the color space of the input is one of RGB, CMY,  
and CIE XYZ.

To restate, according to the present invention, a  
data conversion method suitable for digital computation  
25 processing, a data conversion apparatus using the  
method, a recording medium used in the data conversion,

and an image processing apparatus and method using the data conversion can be provided.

As many apparently widely different embodiments of the present invention can be made without departing  
5 from the spirit and scope thereof, it is to be understood that the invention is not limited to the specific embodiments thereof except as defined in the appended claims.



WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A data conversion method comprising the steps of:  
outputting a value which represents distance from  
an input value to a grid point of a look-up table, and  
5 is normalized by a sufficiently large value, using the  
look-up table; and  
executing data conversion of the input value by  
interpolating the value obtained by the look-up table.
2. The method according to claim 1, wherein the data  
10 conversion interpolates an input value having not less  
than two dimensions using tetrahedral interpolation.
3. The method according to claim 1, wherein the  
sufficiently large value is a power of 2.
4. The method according to claim 1, wherein  
15 positions of the grid point are equal to each other in  
all input dimensions.
5. The method according to claim 1, wherein the  
input value is image data in one of RGB, CMY, and XYZ  
color spaces.
- 20 6. A data conversion apparatus comprising:  
storage means for storing a table, that outputs a  
value which represents distance from a grid point of a  
look-up table to an input value, and is normalized by a  
sufficiently large value, with respect to the input  
25 value; and

computation means for executing data conversion of the input value by interpolating the value obtained by the look-up table.

7. The apparatus according to claim 6, wherein said  
5 computation means interpolates an input value having not less than two dimensions using tetrahedral interpolation.

8. The apparatus according to claim 6, wherein the sufficiently large value is a power of 2.

10 9. The apparatus according to claim 6, wherein positions of the grid point are equal to each other in all input dimensions.

10. The apparatus according to claim 6, wherein the input value is image data in one of RGB, CMY, and XYZ  
15 color spaces.

11. A computer program product comprising a computer  
/readable medium having a computer program code, for a data conversion method, the product comprising:

a normalization process procedure code for  
20 outputting a value which represents distance from an input value to a grid point of a look-up table, and is normalized by a sufficiently large value, using the look-up table; and

a conversion process procedure code for executing  
25 data conversion of the input value by interpolating the value obtained by the look-up table.

12. A computer readable medium recorded data which is used in a data conversion process, the data comprising:

table data for outputting a value which represents distance from a grid point of a look-up table to an input value, and is normalized by a sufficiently large value, with respect to the input value; and

data representing a computation for executing data conversion of the input value by interpolating the value obtained by said look-up table using the value obtained by said table data.

13. An image processing method comprising the steps of:

selecting a plurality of grid points on the basis of input data;

obtaining values, which represent distances between the selected grid points and the input data, and are normalized by a predetermined value; and

executing interpolation on the basis of the obtained values and data of the plurality of grid points.

14. The method according to claim 13, wherein the interpolation is a process for executing tetrahedral interpolation for input data having not less than two dimensions.

15. The method according to claim 13, wherein the predetermined value is a power of 2.

16. The method according to claim 13, wherein positions of the grid point are equal to each other in all input dimensions.

17. The method according to claim 13, wherein the input value is image data in one of RGB, CMY, and XYZ color spaces.

18. An image processing apparatus comprising:  
10 selection means for selecting a plurality of grid points on the basis of input data;

normalization means for obtaining values, which represent distances between the selected grid points and the input data, and are normalized by a  
15 predetermined value; and

computation means for executing interpolation on the basis of the obtained values and data of the plurality of grid points.

19. The apparatus according to claim 18, wherein the  
20 interpolation is a process for executing tetrahedral interpolation for input data having not less than two dimensions.

20. The apparatus according to claim 18, wherein the predetermined value is a power of 2.

21. The apparatus according to claim 18, wherein positions of the grid point are equal to each other in all input dimensions.

22. The apparatus according to claim 18, wherein the  
5 input value is image data in one of RGB, CMY, and XYZ color spaces.

~~23.~~ A computer program product comprising a computer readable medium having a computer program code, for an image processing method, the product comprising:  
10 a selection process procedure code for selecting a plurality of grid points on the basis of input data;  
a normalization process procedure code for obtaining values, which represent distances between the selected grid points and the input data, and are  
15 normalized by a predetermined value; and  
a conversion process procedure code for executing interpolation on the basis of the obtained values and data of the plurality of grid points.

# ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

In tetrahedral interpolation suitable for data conversion implemented by digital computations, when the unit rectangular hexahedron is a regular hexahedron, no complicated multiplication is required, and the computation volume can be greatly reduced. However, when the unit rectangular hexahedron is not a regular hexahedron, since a complicated multiplication is required, the computation volume increases considerably.

To avoid this, after the grid spacing is set (S1), X-u', Y-v', and Z-w' tables for obtaining the positions of an input value with respect to normalized grid points are prepared (S2 - S4). Subsequently, image data is input (S5), and u', v', and w' corresponding to the input image data are obtained using the prepared tables (S6). The relationship among u', v', and w' is determined (S7), and data-converted image data is calculated using an equation corresponding to the determination result (S8).

FIG. 1





FIG. 2

FIG. 2






**FIG. 3**

FIG. 4




FIG. 5





FIG. 6



NAME	AGE	RELATION	DATE	PLACE	REMARKS
John Smith	25	Son	1880	London	First visit
Mary Jones	30	Daughter	1881	London	Second visit
James Brown	40	Brother	1882	London	Third visit
Elizabeth White	28	Sister	1883	London	Fourth visit
William Black	35	Uncle	1884	London	Fifth visit
Anna Green	22	Niece	1885	London	Sixth visit
Robert Grey	32	Cousin	1886	London	Seventh visit
Sarah Hall	27	Sister-in-law	1887	London	Eighth visit
Thomas King	38	Brother-in-law	1888	London	Ninth visit
Isabella Lee	24	Sister	1889	London	Tenth visit
George Clark	33	Uncle	1890	London	Eleventh visit
Charlotte Evans	29	Sister	1891	London	Twelfth visit
Henry Scott	31	Brother	1892	London	Thirteenth visit
Frances Adams	26	Sister	1893	London	Fourteenth visit
Edward Nelson	36	Uncle	1894	London	Fifteenth visit
Martha Baker	23	Sister	1895	London	Sixteenth visit
Frederick Miller	34	Brother	1896	London	Seventeenth visit
Elizabeth Taylor	28	Sister	1897	London	Eighteenth visit
William Wilson	37	Uncle	1898	London	Nineteenth visit
Ann Young	25	Sister	1899	London	Twentieth visit
Thomas Young	39	Brother	1900	London	Twenty-first visit
Isabella Young	27	Sister	1901	London	Twenty-second visit
George Young	32	Brother	1902	London	Twenty-third visit
Charlotte Young	24	Sister	1903	London	Twenty-fourth visit
Henry Young	31	Brother	1904	London	Twenty-fifth visit
Frances Young	26	Sister	1905	London	Twenty-sixth visit
Edward Young	36	Uncle	1906	London	Twenty-seventh visit
Martha Young	23	Sister	1907	London	Twenty-eighth visit
Frederick Young	34	Brother	1908	London	Twenty-ninth visit
Elizabeth Young	28	Sister	1909	London	Thirtieth visit
William Young	37	Uncle	1910	London	Thirty-first visit
Ann Young	25	Sister	1911	London	Thirty-second visit
Thomas Young	39	Brother	1912	London	Thirty-third visit
Isabella Young	27	Sister	1913	London	Thirty-fourth visit
George Young	32	Brother	1914	London	Thirty-fifth visit
Charlotte Young	24	Sister	1915	London	Thirty-sixth visit
Henry Young	31	Brother	1916	London	Thirty-seventh visit
Frances Young	26	Sister	1917	London	Thirty-eighth visit
Edward Young	36	Uncle	1918	London	Thirty-ninth visit
Martha Young	23	Sister	1919	London	Fortieth visit
Frederick Young	34	Brother	1920	London	Forty-first visit
Elizabeth Young	28	Sister	1921	London	Forty-second visit
William Young	37	Uncle	1922	London	Forty-third visit
Ann Young	25	Sister	1923	London	Forty-fourth visit
Thomas Young	39	Brother	1924	London	Forty-fifth visit
Isabella Young	27	Sister	1925	London	Forty-sixth visit
George Young	32	Brother	1926	London	Forty-seventh visit
Charlotte Young	24	Sister	1927	London	Forty-eighth visit
Henry Young	31	Brother	1928	London	Forty-ninth visit
Frances Young	26	Sister	1929	London	Fiftieth visit






FIG. 8 is a block diagram of a computer system 800.

FIG. 8



**FIG. 9**

# COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

(Page 1)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

DATA CONVERSION APPARATUS AND METHOD

the specification of which [X] is attached hereto. [ ] was filed on \_\_\_\_\_

as United States Application No. or PCT International Application No. \_\_\_\_\_  
and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or §365(b), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or §365(a) of any PCT international application which designates at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or PCT international application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

<u>Country</u>	<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Filed (Day/Mo./Yr.)</u>	(Yes/No) <u>Priority Claimed</u>
JAPAN	10-187739	02/07/1998	Yes

I hereby appoint the practitioners associated with the firm and customer number provided below to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith, and direct that all correspondence be addressed to the address associated with that Customer Number:

**FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO**  
**Customer Number: 05514**

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole or First Inventor Hiroaki SUGIURA  
Inventor's signature Hiroaki Sugiura  
Date June 22, 1999 Citizen/Subject of Japan  
Residence 715-8-104, Shinmarukomachi, Nakahara-ku, Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa-ken, Japan  
Post Office Address c/o CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA,  
30-2, Shimomaruko 3-chome, Ohta-ku, Tokyo, Japan